TO: Examiner: Samuel M. Heinrich

TECHNOLOGY GROUP:

1725

Phone Number:

(571) 272-1175

CENTRAL FAX CENTER
APR 1 9 2006

RECEIVED

FAX. NO.

(571)-273-8300

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

for Application No. 10/791,576

Attorney Docket No. 133474

FROM:

Steven J. Rosen

Patent Attorney

4729 Cornell Road Cincinnati Ohio, 45241

Telephone: (513) 489-5383

Fax:

(513) 489-5466

sjrosen@ix.netcom.com

Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8 I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on April 19, 2006

Signature

Steven J. Rosen

PAGE 1 of 4 PAGES INCLUDING THIS COVER PAGE

Date: 4/19/2006 Time: 12:33:04 PM

ATTY DOCKET NO. 133474

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER APR 1 9 2006

DOC. ID 133474A

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

In response to the Office Action mailed February 13, 2006, please consider the following amendments and remarks:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$103(a)

1. The Examiner's rejection of Claims 1-24 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over W095/25821 to Dulaney, in view of USPN 6,200,689 to Ferrigno et al., has been studied and the Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's reasons for combining the references or applying them to the Claims in the present Application. The Applicants respectfully submit that nothing in the cited references, either taken alone or in combination, teach Claim 1, in particular, "laser shock peening a border area of the surface between the first area and a non-laser shock peened area of the article with at least one first low fluence oblique laser beam that is oblique with respect to the surface."

Contrary to the Examiner's conclusion, Dulaney does not disclose the use of a laser beam that is oblique with respect to the surface. Dulaney does not disclose angled beams.